


IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant:	MITANI, et al.	Examiner:	S. Mummert
Serial No.:	10/583706	Group Art Unit:	1637
Filed:	April 12, 2007	Docket:	20078.0001USWO
Title:	METHOD OF AMPLIFYING NUCLEIC ACID AND METHOD OF DETECTING MUTATED NUCLEIC ACID USING THE SAME		

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by EFS Web to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on March 15, 2010.

By:



Name: Peggy Kerkhove

**INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT**

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

With regard to the above-identified application, the items of information listed on the enclosed Form SB08 are brought to the attention of the Examiner. Copies of any foreign patent documents or "Other Documents" are enclosed.

A concise explanation of the relevance of each non-English language document or other information is as follows (37 C.F.R. §(a)(3)):

US 2007-0190531 corresponds to JP 3897805. US 2007-0190531 is the U.S. Patent Publication of the above-identified application.

The following is a concise explanation of the enclosed Prosecution documents (NPL1 & NPL2). A suit for canceling trial decision of Mukou (Invalidation) 2008-800293 (invalidation trial case of JP 3897805) was filed by Eiken Kagaku Kabushiki Kaisha. Although the demandant submitted documents describing the reasons for canceling the trial decision, they exhibited no new evidence at this time.

The defendant explained in the suit for canceling trial decision of Mukou (Invalidation) 2008-800091 (invalidation trial case of JP 3867926) that the demandant's arguments were technically wrong, and, the experimental data submitted by the demandant on January 19, 2010 was inappropriate. The experimental data submitted by the defendant is the same as Experiment I in the Declaration prepared and filed in co-pending matter Serial No. 10/532975 in response to the US Office Action dated July 29, 2009.

In accordance with the provisions of 37 C.F.R. §1.97, this statement is being filed (CHECK ONE):

- ☒ (1) within three (3) months of the Filing Date, before the mailing date of a First Office Action on the merits, or before the mailing date of a First Office Action on the merits after the filing of a request for continued examination under 37 C.F.R. §1.114; or
- ☐ (2) after the period defined in (1) but before the mailing date of a Final Rejection or Notice of Allowance, and
- ☐ the requisite Statement is below, OR
- ☐ the requisite fee of \$180.00 under Rule 1.17(p) is included herein, or
- ☐ (3) after the mailing date of a Final Rejection or Notice of Allowance but on or before the payment of the Issue Fee, AND the requisite Statement is below AND the requisite fee of \$180.00 under Rule 1.17(p) is included herein.

### STATEMENT

Applicants hereby state that:

- ☐ Each item of information contained in the Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application or by the USPTO in a related application not more than three months prior to the filing date of the Information Disclosure Statement

- ☐ If this box is checked, Applicant provides the following:

#### **Certification Under 37 C.F.R. §1.704(d)**

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. §1.704(d), the undersigned hereby certifies that each item listed on the enclosed Form SB08 was first cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and that this communication was not received by any individual designated in 37 C.F.R. §1.56(c) more than thirty (30) days prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement.

- ☐ The Examiner is hereby advised of the following co-pending U.S. applications. A copy of each U.S. patent application publication (if published) or application (if not published) is enclosed.

Application No.

Filing Date

Group

No representation is made that a reference is "prior art" within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103 and Applicants reserve the right, pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.131 or otherwise, to establish that the reference(s) are not "prior art." Moreover, Applicants do not represent that a reference has been thoroughly reviewed or that any relevance of any portion of a reference is intended.

Consideration of the items listed is respectfully requested. Pursuant to the provisions of M.P.E.P. 609, it is requested that the Examiner return a copy of the attached Form SB08, marked as being considered and initialed by the Examiner, to the undersigned with the next official communication.


**FEE AUTHORIZATION**

Please charge any required fee or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 50-3478.

Respectfully submitted,

HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER &  
LARSON, P.C.  
P.O. Box 2902  
Minneapolis, MN 55402  
(612) 455-3800

Dated: March 15, 2010

By:   
Douglas P. Mueller  
Reg. No. 30,300

DPM/pjk

